

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/890,890	OLSSON, HAKAN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Carlos Lopez	1731	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carlos Lopez.

(2) Lawrence Eisen.

**Status of Application: After Final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 1 July 2004

**Time:** 3pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was noted to applicant that product by-process claims 21-23 still stand rejected as noted in the final rejection since patentability is based on the product per se. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 21-23 to advance the prosecution of the instant application.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 7/2/04  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)